## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

EDUARDO ALVAREZ-VEGA,

No. 2:15-cv-02203-JE

Petitioner,

ORDER

v.

MARK NOOTH,

Respondent.

HERNÁNDEZ, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge Jelderks issued a Findings and Recommendation on September 28, 2021, in which he recommends that the Court deny the Third Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus and enter judgment dismissing this case with prejudice. F&R, ECF [110]. The matter is now before the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

Because no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation were timely filed, the Court is relieved of its obligation to review the record *de novo*. *United States v*.

Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc); see also United States v.

Bernhardt, 840 F.2d 1441, 1444 (9th Cir. 1988) (de novo review required only for portions of

Magistrate Judge's report to which objections have been made). Having reviewed the legal

principles de novo, the Court finds no error.

**CONCLUSION** 

The Court ADOPTS Magistrate Judge Jelderks's Findings and Recommendation [110].

United States District Judge

Accordingly, the Third Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus [69] is denied and this case

is dismissed with prejudice. Because Petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial

of a constitutional right pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2), the Court declines to issue a

Certificate of Appealability.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: \_\_\_\_February 16, 2022

2 - ORDER